

Common programming mistakes in C



Systems Programming

Not using all available tools

■ GCC compiler options

- ☐ **-Wall** show all warnings
- ☐ **-ansi -pedantic** strict ANSI C conformance

■ Dynamic analysis tools: at run-time

- ☐ Uninitialized variables, memory violations...
- ☐ **valgrind [options] program [arguments]**
 - **--track-fds=yes** show open files when program ends
 - **--leak-check=full** show allocated memory when program ends
 - **--malloc-fill=0xA** initialize allocated memory with chosen value
 - **--free-fill=0xB** fill memory with chosen value on free
- ☐ Memory guards in OS

■ Static analysis tools: source code

- ☐ Type safety, reachability, unused results, coding style
- ☐ Splint: <http://www.splint.org>

Leaving variables uninitialized (1)

■ Results

- ☐ At best: program crashes
- ☐ Mostly: unusual behavior, hard to debug

■ Not initialized automatically

- ☐ Local variables
- ☐ Memory from heap (exception: `calloc`)

■ Manually initializing with safe values

- ☐ Numerical types: 0 or 0.0
- ☐ Pointers: `NULL`
- ☐ Arrays: e.g. `int arr1[5] = {0}, arr2[] = {10, 20, 30};`
- ☐ Strings
 - `char str1[] = "Hi", str2[10] = "", str3[5] = {0};`
 - `char *str4 = "Hi";`
- ☐ Structures, unions
 - `struct s { int a; char b; float c; };`
 - `struct s instance1 = {0, 0, 0.0}, instance2 = {0}`

Leaving variables uninitialized (2)

■ Initializing allocated memory

☐ Automatically on allocation

- `calloc`: sets all bits to 0

- `int *mem = calloc(20, sizeof(int));`

☐ Later, whenever required

- `memset`: set region of memory to chosen value

- `memset(mem, 5, 20 * sizeof(int));`

■ Beware

☐ Binary 0 works as 0, 0.0 and NULL...

... but may have other meaning for other data types!

Assuming the program is bug-free, if it runs at all somehow

- Problems can go unnoticed
 - Off-by-one memory violations
 - Un-terminated strings
 - Accessing stack at wrong position
 - Missing parameters for `printf`
 - Accessing `argv` without checking `argc`
- May not crash program
- May lead to strange behaviour or crash later
- Possible remedies
 - Defensive programming
 - Checking every parameter
 - Checking index ranges
 - Checking numeric ranges before calculations
 - ...
 - Checker tools

Using feof() for detecting EOF

■ Symptoms

- ☐ Last character / word / line appears to be read twice
- ☐ Invalid data (return value EOF) processed

■ Reason

- ☐ I/O functions usually reach end-of-file and return normal data
- ☐ Set end-of-file flag only after next call

■ Always check return value of I/O functions

■ Use feof() only to check whether it's really end-of-file

■ Wrong

```
int c;
while (!feof(file)) {
    c = getc(file);
    ...
}
```

■ Correct

```
int c;
while ((c = getc(file)) != EOF) {
    ...
}
if (feof(file)) { ... }
else if (ferror(file)) { ... }
```

Not checking return values of library functions

- Remember: No exceptions like in Java
- Only chance: Check return value every time immediately
- What to check for:
 - ☐ -1: **mktime**, **system**...
 - ☐ NULL: **malloc**, **fopen**, **strdup**, **localtime**, **bsearch**...
 - ☐ Less than the requested amount of data was processed:
scanf, **fread**, **fwrite**...
 - ☐ Special constants: **EOF**
 - ☐ In general: Read the man page to find out!
- Only then
 - ☐ Look at **errno** (e.g., via **perror** or **strerror**)
 - ☐ Use special functions like **feof**

More common mistakes

- comp.lang.c FAQ
 - ☐ Clarifies many misconceptions
 - ☐ Solutions to common mistakes
 - ☐ <http://www.c-faq.com>

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Michael Sonntag

michael.sonntag@ins.jku.at

+43 (732) 2468 - 4137

S3 235 (Science park 3, 2nd floor)



JOHANNES KEPLER
UNIVERSITÄT LINZ



INSTITUTE
OF NETWORKS
AND SECURITY

<http://www.ins.jku.at>

**JOHANNES KEPLER
UNIVERSITÄT LINZ**

Altenberger Straße 69
4040 Linz, Österreich
www.jku.at